

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q80151

Hajime SAIKI, et al.

Allowed: July 13, 2007

Appln. No.: 10/787,406

Group Art Unit: 2841

Confirmation No.: 4519

Examiner: Jeremy C. Norris

Filed: February 27, 2004

For: WIRING SUBSTRATE

RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

ATTN: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REMARKS

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated July 13, 2007, the undersigned believes that the Examiner intended to refer to Claim 9 in line 2 at page 2 of the Notice of Allowability, which should correctly state "Claim 9 states the limitation...".

Patent Office personnel are requested to note that the present submission does not adversely affect the patent term adjustment accrued by the Applicant to date. As emphasized in the "Clarification of 37 C.F.R. §1.704(c)(10) – Reduction of Patent Term Adjustment for Certain Types of Papers Filed After a Notice of Allowance Has Been Mailed," 1247OG111 (6/26/01), "a response to the examiner's reasons for allowance" is an example of a paper that does "not cause substantial interference and delay in the patent issue process" and is "not considered a 'failure to engage in reasonable efforts' to conclude processing or examination of the application." Therefore, the Applicant remains entitled to the full patent term adjustment set forth on page 3 of the Notice of Allowance dated July 13, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,



Abraham J. Rosner

Registration No. 33,276

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/265550

65565

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 10, 2007